



## King's Research Portal

DOI:

[10.1016/j.scog.2015.11.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scog.2015.11.003)

*Document Version*

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link to publication record in King's Research Portal](#)

*Citation for published version (APA):*

Bennett, D., Dluzniak, A., Cropper, S. J., Partos, T., Sundram, S., & Carter, O. (2016). Selective impairment of global motion integration, but not global form detection, in schizophrenia and bipolar affective disorder. *Schizophrenia Research: Cognition*, 3, 11 - 14. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scog.2015.11.003>

### **Citing this paper**

Please note that where the full-text provided on King's Research Portal is the Author Accepted Manuscript or Post-Print version this may differ from the final Published version. If citing, it is advised that you check and use the publisher's definitive version for pagination, volume/issue, and date of publication details. And where the final published version is provided on the Research Portal, if citing you are again advised to check the publisher's website for any subsequent corrections.


### **General rights**

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognize and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the Research Portal

### **Take down policy**

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact [librarypure@kcl.ac.uk](mailto:librarypure@kcl.ac.uk) providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



# Selective impairment of global motion integration, but not global form detection, in schizophrenia and bipolar affective disorder



Daniel Bennett <sup>a,\*</sup>, Amy Dluzniak <sup>a</sup>, Simon J. Cropper <sup>a</sup>, Timea Partos <sup>a</sup>, Suresh Sundram <sup>b,c,1</sup>, Olivia Carter <sup>a,1</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Melbourne School of Psychological Sciences, The University of Melbourne, Parkville, VIC 3010, Australia

<sup>b</sup> The Florey Institute of Neuroscience & Mental Health, 30 Royal Parade, Parkville, VIC 3052, Australia

<sup>c</sup> Department of Psychiatry, School of Clinical Sciences, Monash University and Monash Health, Clayton, VIC, 3168, Australia

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 3 September 2015

Received in revised form 30 October 2015

Accepted 2 November 2015

Available online 7 December 2015

### Keywords:

Schizophrenia

Bipolar affective disorder

Psychophysics

Vision

Form detection

Faces

## ABSTRACT

Recent evidence suggests that schizophrenia is associated with impaired processing of global visual motion, but intact processing of global visual form. This project assessed whether preserved visual form detection in schizophrenia extended beyond low-level pattern discrimination to a naturalistic form-detection task. We assessed both naturalistic form detection and global motion detection in individuals with schizophrenia spectrum disorder, bipolar affective disorder, and healthy controls. Individuals with schizophrenia spectrum disorder and bipolar affective disorder were impaired relative to healthy controls on the global motion task, but not the naturalistic form-detection task. Results indicate that preservation of visual form detection in these disorders extends beyond configural forms to naturalistic object processing.

© 2016 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Schizophrenia is characterised by widespread perceptual abnormalities (Butler et al., 2008; Heinrichs and Zakzanis, 1998; Javitt, 2009). In particular, individuals with schizophrenia show problems with tasks requiring integration of spatiotemporally local stimulus elements into coherent context-sensitive percepts (Silverstein and Keane, 2011; Uhlhaas and Silverstein, 2005). In the temporal domain, whilst local motion perception shows no impairment, integration of local elements into a global percept of motion is compromised in schizophrenia (Chen et al., 2003). This effect could be mediated by a general failure to effectively integrate signals across both space and time; this theory suggests that as well as global motion, global form processing may be impaired in schizophrenia (e.g. Keane et al., 2014). However, a recent comparison of form and motion perception using dot-patterns suggested a greater motion deficit than form perception deficit in schizophrenia (Brittain et al., 2010), implying some dissociation between the two domains. In the present study we investigated this question by studying a naturalistic form-detection task in schizophrenia and healthy controls, and comparing performance on this task to performance on a standard motion integration task. The form-detection task assessed detection sensitivity for two natural object types—faces and flowers—thereby also testing the hypothesis that schizophrenia is associated with a specific face processing deficit (Chen et al., 2008; Frith et al., 1983; Williams

et al., 1999). In addition, we also assessed individuals with bipolar affective disorder, to test the hypothesis that these patients experience patterns of visual deficits similar to those with schizophrenia (Carter et al., submitted; Hill et al., 2014).

Participants were inpatients in an acute adult psychiatry inpatient unit in Melbourne, Australia, and were compared to age-matched healthy controls from the general population. Inpatients had a primary diagnosis of either a schizophrenia spectrum disorder (SSD; schizophrenia, schizoaffective disorder or schizophreniform disorder) or bipolar affective disorder. Diagnoses were made independently of investigators by a consultant psychiatrist and multidisciplinary clinical team using DSM-IV criteria. Exclusion criteria were intellectual disability, traumatic brain injury, stroke, or neurodegenerative disease. Additional exclusion criteria for healthy control participants were psychotropic medication or illicit substance use within two weeks of testing, or personal history of psychiatric illness. All participants had normal or corrected-to-normal vision. Participants gave informed consent, and research protocols were approved by Melbourne Health and University of Melbourne Human Research Ethics Committees. Participants' IQ was estimated using the National Adult Reading Test (NART; Blair and Spreen, 1989; Crawford et al., 1992), and handedness was assessed using the Edinburgh Handedness Inventory (Oldfield, 1971). Benzodiazepine doses were converted to diazepam equivalents, and antipsychotic doses were converted to chlorpromazine equivalents (Bezchlibnyk-Butler et al., 2013; Woods, 2003). Table 1 presents summary demographic statistics.

In the form perception task, participants reported the presence or absence of objects (faces or flowers; Fig. 1) in visual noise arrays (Partos

\* Corresponding author at: Melbourne School of Psychological Sciences, The University of Melbourne, Parkville Victoria 3010. Tel.: +61 3 8344 0221.

E-mail address: [daniel.bennett@unimelb.edu.au](mailto:daniel.bennett@unimelb.edu.au) (D. Bennett).

<sup>1</sup> These authors contributed equally to the work.

**Table 1**Participant demographic characteristics for form and motion tasks<sup>a</sup>.

	Schizophrenia spectrum disorder	Bipolar affective disorder	Healthy control
<i>n</i> completing form task	22 <sup>a</sup>	11 <sup>b</sup>	13
<i>n</i> completing motion task	14 <sup>c</sup>	8 <sup>d</sup>	35
Gender (form)	17 M, 5 F	5 M, 6 F	6 M, 7 F
Gender (motion)	11 M, 3 F	5 F, 3 M	20 M, 15 F
Handedness (form)	21R, 0L, 1A	10R, 1 L	13R, 0 L, 0A
Handedness (motion)	13R, 0 L, 1A	8R, 0 L	32R, 2 L, 1A
Age [SEM] (form)	38.91 [3.06]	40.45 [3.80]	40.38 [3.81]
Age [SEM] (motion)	40.00 [3.94]	41.75 [4.67]	39.11 [2.28]
IQ estimate <sup>e</sup> [SEM] (form)	103.22 [2.55]	102.23 [3.61]	111.6 [2.61]
IQ estimate [SEM] (motion)	98.26 [2.48]	104.01 [4.57]	108.87 [3.50]
Chlorpromazine dose [mg] [SEM] (form)	569.93 [65.68]	404.09 [66.58]	–
Chlorpromazine dose [mg] [SEM] (motion)	552.25 [97.65]	364.00 [55.64]	–
Benzodiazepine dose [mg] [SEM] (form)	19.89 [7.31]	15.45 [5.11]	–
Benzodiazepine dose [mg] [SEM] (motion)	19.11 [8.17]	17.5 [6.75]	–
DOI <sup>f</sup> [days] [SEM] (form)	4168.8 [684.31]	3620.82 [1107.83]	–
DOI [days] [SEM] (motion)	4420.89 [942.85]	3563.13 [1003.33]	–


<sup>a</sup> All inpatients completed the form task, and a subset of inpatients also completed the motion task. Two healthy control participants completed both form and motion tasks.<sup>a</sup> Including 18 with schizophrenia, three with schizoaffective disorder, and one with schizophreniform psychosis.<sup>b</sup> Including ten participants in a manic episode at time of testing, and one in a depressed episode.<sup>c</sup> Including 12 with schizophrenia, one with schizoaffective disorder, and one with schizophreniform psychosis.<sup>d</sup> Including seven participants in a manic episode at time of testing, and one in a depressed episode.<sup>e</sup> Due to dyslexia or illiteracy, IQ estimates were not available for two individuals in the schizophrenia group. In addition, two healthy control participants did not complete the NART.<sup>f</sup> Duration of illness (DOI), calculated as elapsed days from assignment of current diagnosis to day of testing for patients with more than one admission; for first episode patients DOI was calculated from the day of admission to day of testing.

et al., submitted). Stimuli were  $12.3 \times 12.3$  cm 8-bit greyscale arrays, subtending  $12.3 \times 12.3^\circ$  visual angle. Sensitivity was measured as  $d'$ , and response bias as  $c$  (Stanislaw and Todorov, 1999; Tanner and Swets, 1954).


In the motion integration task, participants reported the direction of motion (left or right) of coherently moving 'signal' dots amongst randomly moving 'noise' dots (Newsome and Pare, 1988). Stimuli were  $9 \times 9$  cm visual displays subtending  $9 \times 9^\circ$  visual angle, containing 300 black and white dots on a grey background (Fig. 2). Sensitivity was calculated as the inverse of coherence threshold.

Form and motion tasks were completed in separate sessions, with task order counterbalanced between participants. Participants were seated comfortably in a dimly lit room, using a chin rest 57 cm from the monitor. Participants verbally reported responses to a researcher, who entered responses into the testing computer. Prior to each task participants completed a practice block with accurate task feedback. No feedback was provided during testing.

In form detection, a  $3 \times 2$  mixed-design ANOVA revealed no significant effect of diagnostic group (schizophrenia spectrum disorder, bipolar, control) on sensitivity,  $F(2,42) = 1.07$ ,  $p = .35$  (Fig. 3a). However, there was a main effect of image type, with poorer sensitivity for faces ( $M = 1.32$ ,  $SEM = 0.07$ ) than flowers ( $M = 1.46$ ,  $SEM = 0.09$ ),  $F(1,42) = 4.44$ ,  $p < .05$ ,  $\eta_p^2 = .10$ . However, the interaction between diagnostic group and image type was not significant,  $F(2,42) = 1.01$ ,  $p = .37$ , indicating that this pattern was observed across all diagnostic groups and is therefore likely to reflect differences in stimulus properties (such as symmetry) rather than visual pathology. This is consistent with the view that schizophrenia is associated with extensive general visual impairments, rather than a specific face-processing deficit (Darke et al., 2013). A second  $3 \times 2$  mixed-design ANOVA revealed a main effect of image type on response bias,  $F(1,42) = 7.07$ ,  $p < .05$ , with participants more likely to report seeing faces than flowers. However, there was no main effect of diagnostic group on response bias,  $F(2,42) = 0.23$ ,  $p = .79$ .



**Fig. 1.** Schematic diagram of presentation sequence for a single trial during the form perception task. Participants were required to report whether a target object (either a face or a flower) was present in a visual array. 'Object absent' stimuli were noise arrays with a reciprocal amplitude to spatial frequency ( $1/f$ ) structure, approximating visual statistics of natural scenes (Field, 1987), filtered to contain one octave of frequencies centred on  $2.4$   $c^\circ$ , 'Object present' stimuli were similar noise arrays with a percentage of pixels from an object image replacing noise pixels in a portion of the image prior to filtering. All stimuli were filtered and scaled similarly, thereby ensuring similar root-mean-square contrast in 'present' and 'absent' stimuli. Participants completed four blocks of 120 trials, each containing 60 'object present' and 60 'object absent' trials. Stimuli were presented for an extended period of time (1000 ms) and ease of detection was manipulated by systematically varying the percentage of visual noise in 'object present' arrays (equal numbers 55/57/60%, randomly intermixed). The location of the object within the noise was randomly assigned on each trial (equating approximately to a location within one of the 4 quadrants of the image). Participants were correctly informed that half of trials contained objects. Faces and flowers were presented in separate blocks, and participants were told prior to each block whether the block's target objects were faces or flowers. As a result of computer error, data for flower blocks were unavailable for one participant.



**Fig. 2.** Motion integration task stimuli. Stimuli contain both signal dots, which move coherently, and noise dots, which move in random directions. Dots were 2 mm in diameter and moved with a velocity of 10 cm/s for 750 ms per trial. The percentage of signal dots in the array is defined as the motion coherence level. Participants completed two blocks consisting of 50 trials each. Motion coherence level was initially set at 100% and adjusted using the adaptive QUEST algorithm in order to determine 75% correct thresholds. At 100% coherence (A) all dots move in the same direction; at 50% coherence (B) half the dots move in coherently and half move in random directions. Here, signal dots are moving to the right. Participants fixated upon a white circle 5 mm in diameter and reported the direction of motion (left or right) of the coherently moving 'signal' dots.

and no interaction between diagnosis and image type,  $F(2,42) = 1.87$ ,  $p = .17$ .


In motion integration, a Kruskal–Wallis test revealed significant differences in motion coherence sensitivity between the three diagnostic groups (schizophrenia spectrum disorder, bipolar, control)  $\chi^2(2, n = 57) = 33.93$ ,  $p < .001$  (Fig. 3b). Post-hoc Mann–Whitney  $U$  tests with Bonferroni adjustment for multiple comparisons revealed that sensitivity was significantly poorer amongst both participants with schizophrenia spectrum disorder,  $U = 7$ ,  $p < .001$ , and bipolar,  $U = 26$ ,  $p < .001$ , relative to healthy control participants, but did not differ significantly between the two patient groups,  $U = 40$ ,  $p = .28$ .

Multiple linear regression indicated that form and motion task performance were unrelated to possible confounds including medication dosage, IQ, or illness duration (all  $p > .05$ ).

In summary, the present study found that inpatients with schizophrenia spectrum disorder or bipolar diagnoses were impaired in global motion detection, but not naturalistic global form detection, relative to healthy controls. This is consistent with the finding that low-level visual form perception was intact amongst individuals with schizophrenia who displayed impaired global motion perception (Brittain et al., 2010). However, our results are somewhat incongruous with past research demonstrating abnormal form processing in schizophrenia spectrum disorders (Chapman, 1966; Klosterkötter et al., 2001). This apparent inconsistency may be the result of different task demands in different studies of form processing in schizophrenia

spectrum disorder. Rather than identification or discrimination of forms, the present study assessed the ability to detect forms embedded within visual noise. As such, the task may have assessed a relatively early stage of form processing, prior to integration of information into a coherent percept. It is the latter function which is thought to be particularly compromised in schizophrenia spectrum disorder, even where early stages of form processing are intact (Keane et al., 2014; Uhlhaas and Silverstein, 2005).

The present study found for the first time that this pattern of differential visual dysfunction was also present in individuals with bipolar affective disorder, consistent with previous findings showing that across diagnoses, the presence or absence of psychotic symptoms gives a more parsimonious explanation of patterns of sensory impairment than diagnosis (Carter et al., submitted). However, although patterns of sensory impairment were similar between schizophrenia spectrum disorder and bipolar in the present study, previous research has shown that in other psychophysical tasks, these disorders are associated with distinct patterns of sensory deficits (e.g. Chen et al., 2006). Moreover, the bipolar sample in the present study was relatively small, and as such it is possible that meaningful differences in form detection between these disorders may become apparent in future studies involving larger patient numbers. In addition, whereas some previous research has observed lower IQs in schizophrenia spectrum disorder than bipolar affective disorder (Seidman et al., 2002), the present study found no significant



**Fig. 3.** (A) Mean form perception sensitivity as a function of diagnostic group and image type. Sensitivity is a summary measure calculated across both face and flower stimuli. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. (B) Mean motion integration sensitivity as a function of diagnostic group. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. Data are presented on a logarithmic scale.



difference in IQ between these disorders; it is therefore unclear how our results will generalise to the wider patient population. Finally, we note that since variability in visual acuity within the normal range can account for significant differences in visual task performance (Keane et al., 2015), we cannot rule out subtle differences in acuity between diagnostic groups as a possible confound of results.

The results of the present study demonstrate that individuals with schizophrenia spectrum disorder and bipolar affective disorder display intact naturalistic form detection alongside impaired global motion processing. This pattern is of particular interest since a prominent theory proposes that the primate visual system is divided into ventral 'what' (or form) and dorsal 'where' (or motion) cortical pathways (Mishkin and Ungerleider, 1982). One interpretation of our results is that individuals with schizophrenia spectrum disorder and bipolar affective disorder may be more impaired in functions subserved by the latter pathway. Such a deficit might be the product of an impairment of magnocellular relative to parvocellular signalling in schizophrenia (Brittain et al., 2010; Doniger et al., 2002). However, we also note that this simple anatomy-behaviour link has been disputed (Skottun and Skoyles, 2007), and it is also possible that a generalised processing deficit in schizophrenia and bipolar affective disorder may have more strongly affected performance on the motion than the form task (Chapman and Chapman, 1978). Alternatively, a dissociation between form and motion processing might result from a general deficit in sensory integration, since motion processing introduces an additional dimension (time) across which to judge context.

## Funding sources

This work was funded by Australian Research Council grant FT140100807.

## Contributors

Authors SS and OC designed and supervised the project. Authors DB and AD collected data. Authors DB, SJC and TP contributed to task design and data analysis. Authors DB, SJC, SS and OC contributed substantially to writing the manuscript.

## Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest to report.

## Conflict of interest statement

Dr Carter has received research and salary support from the Australian National Health and Medical Research Council. Dr Sundram has received consulting fees, advisory board fees, research support, speaker's honoraria or travel support from AstraZeneca, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Eli Lilly, Flack trust, GlaxoSmithKline, the Australian National Health and Medical Research Council, One-in-Five Association, Pfizer and Roche. All other authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

## Acknowledgements

The authors thank Suma Sathya and Fiona Bole for assistance with data collection.

## References

Bezchlibnyk-Butler, K.Z., Jeffries, J.J., Procyshyn, R.M., Virani, A.S., 2013. *Clinical handbook of psychotropic drugs*. Hogrefe Verlag.

- Blair, J.R., Spreen, O., 1989. Predicting premorbid IQ: a revision of the National Adult Reading Test. *Clin. Neuropsychol.* 3 (2), 129–136.
- Brittain, P., Surguladze, S., McKendrick, A., 2010. Backward and forward visual masking in schizophrenia and its relation to global motion and global form perception. *Schizophr. Res.* 124 (1), 134–141.
- Butler, P.D., Silverstein, S.M., Dakin, S.C., 2008. Visual perception and its impairment in schizophrenia. *Biol. Psychiatry* 64 (1), 40–47.
- Carter, O., Bennett, D., Nash, T., Arnold, S., Brown, L., Cai, R.Y., Allan, Z., Druzniak, A., McAnally, K., Burr, D., Sundram, S., submitted. Visual and auditory integration deficits are not limited to schizophrenia but support a dimensional view of psychosis.
- Chapman, J., 1966. The early symptoms of schizophrenia. *Br. J. Psychiatry* 112 (484), 225–251.
- Chapman, L.J., Chapman, J.P., 1978. The measurement of differential deficit. *J. Psychiatr. Res.* 14, 303–311.
- Chen, Y., Nakayama, K., Levy, D., Matthyse, S., Holzman, P., 2003. Processing of global, but not local, motion direction is deficient in schizophrenia. *Schizophr. Res.* 61 (2), 215–227.
- Chen, Y., Levy, D.L., Sheremata, S., Holzman, P.S., 2006. Bipolar and schizophrenic patients differ in patterns of visual motion discrimination. *Schizophr. Res.* 88 (1), 208–216.
- Chen, Y., Norton, D., Ongur, D., Heckers, S., 2008. Inefficient face detection in schizophrenia. *Schizophr. Bull.* 34 (2), 367–374.
- Crawford, J., Besson, J., Bremner, M., Ebmeier, K., Cochrane, R., Kirkwood, K., 1992. Estimation of premorbid intelligence in schizophrenia. *Br. J. Psychiatry* 161 (1), 69–74.
- Darke, H., Peterman, J.S., Park, S., Sundram, S., Carter, O., 2013. Are patients with schizophrenia impaired in processing non-emotional features of human faces? *Front. Psychol.* 4.
- Doniger, G.M., Foxe, J.J., Murray, M.M., Higgins, B.A., Javitt, D.C., 2002. Impaired visual object recognition and dorsal/ventral stream interaction in schizophrenia. *Arch. Gen. Psychiatry* 59 (11), 1011–1020.
- Field, D.J., 1987. Relations between the statistics of natural images and the response properties of cortical cells. *J. Opt. Soc. Am. A Opt. Image Sci. Vis.* 4 (12), 2379–2394.
- Frith, C., Stevens, M., Johnstone, E.C., Owens, D., Crow, T., 1983. Integration of schematic faces and other complex objects in schizophrenia. *J. Nerv. Ment. Dis.* 171 (1), 34–39.
- Heinrichs, R.W., Zakzanis, K.K., 1998. Neurocognitive deficit in schizophrenia: a quantitative review of the evidence. *Neuropsychology* 12 (3), 426.
- Hill, S.K., Reilly, J.L., Keefe, R.S., Gold, J.M., Bishop, J.R., Gershon, E.S., Tamminga, C.A., Pearson, G.D., Keshavan, M.S., Sweeney, J.A., 2013. Neuropsychological impairments in schizophrenia and psychotic bipolar disorder: findings from the Bipolar-Schizophrenia Network on Intermediate Phenotypes (B-SNIP) study. *Am. J. Psychiatry* 170 (11), 1275–1284.
- Javitt, D.C., 2009. Sensory processing in schizophrenia: neither simple nor intact. *Schizophr. Bull.* 35 (6), 1059–1064.
- Keane, B.P., Joseph, J., Silverstein, S.M., 2014. Late, not early, stages of Kanizsa shape perception are compromised in schizophrenia. *Neuropsychologia* 56, 302–311.
- Keane, B.P., Kastner, S., Paterno, D., Silverstein, S.M., 2015. Is 20/20 vision good enough? Visual acuity differences within the normal range predict contour element detection and integration. *Psychon. Bull. Rev.* 22 (1), 121–127.
- Klosterkötter, J., Hellmich, M., Steinmeyer, E.M., Schultz-Lutter, F., 2001. Diagnosing schizophrenia in the initial prodromal phase. *Arch. Gen. Psychiatry* 58 (2), 158–164.
- Mishkin, M., Ungerleider, L.G., 1982. Contribution of striate inputs to the visuospatial functions of parieto-occipital cortex in monkeys. *Behav. Brain Res.* 6 (1), 57–77.
- Newsome, W.T., Pare, E.B., 1988. A selective impairment of motion perception following lesions of the middle temporal visual area (MT). *J. Neurosci.* 8 (6), 2201–2211.
- Oldfield, R.C., 1971. The assessment and analysis of handedness: the Edinburgh inventory. *Neuropsychologia* 9 (1), 97–113.
- Partos, T.R., Cropper, S.J., Rawlings, D.R., submitted. Individual differences in the perception of meaning: an exploration of visual false-alarms.
- Seidman, L.J., Kremen, W.S., Koren, D., Faraone, S.V., Goldstein, J.M., Tsuang, M.T., 2002. A comparative profile analysis of neuropsychological functioning in patients with schizophrenia and bipolar psychoses. *Schizophr. Res.* 53 (1), 31–44.
- Silverstein, S.M., Keane, B.P., 2011. Perceptual organization impairment in schizophrenia and associated brain mechanisms: review of research from 2005 to 2010. *Schizophr. Bull.* 37 (4), 690–699.
- Skottun, B.C., Skoyles, J.R., 2007. Contrast sensitivity and magnocellular functioning in schizophrenia. *Vision Res.* 47 (23), 2923–2933.
- Stanislaw, H., Todorov, N., 1999. Calculation of signal detection theory measures. *Behav. Res. Methods Instrum. Comput.* 31 (1), 137–149.
- Tanner, W.P., Swets, J.A., 1954. A decision-making theory of visual detection. *Psychol. Rev.* 61 (6), 401.
- Uhlhaas, P.J., Silverstein, S.M., 2005. Perceptual organization in schizophrenia spectrum disorders: empirical research and theoretical implications. *Psychol. Bull.* 131 (4), 618.
- Williams, L.M., Loughland, C.M., Gordon, E., Davidson, D., 1999. Visual scanpaths in schizophrenia: is there a deficit in face recognition? *Schizophr. Res.* 40 (3), 189–199.
- Woods, S.W., 2003. Chlorpromazine equivalent doses for the newer atypical antipsychotics. *J. Clin. Psychiatry* 64 (6), 663–667.